

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 34823

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 669
	)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	Filed: October 1, 2008
	)	
v.	)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
	)	
CHRISTOPHER L. ROSE,	)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
	)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Second Judicial District, State of Idaho, Nez Perce County. Hon. Jeff M. Brudie, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended unified sentence of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for attempted grand theft, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

PER CURIAM

Christopher L. Rose was convicted of attempted grand theft, Idaho Code sections 18-2403(1), -2407(1)(b)(4), -306. The district court withheld judgment and placed Rose on probation for five years. Subsequently, Rose admitted to violating several terms of the probation, and the district court consequently revoked the withheld judgment, imposed a unified sentence of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, and retained jurisdiction. At the end of the retained jurisdiction program, the district court suspended Rose's sentence and placed him on probation for four years. Rose appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Rose's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.